✓ Present

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

(2) -2714.

1933. (Mezrai)

File No. 149 33

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for December 33

SUBJECT.

Restoration of Godi Bommanahalli
& Mr Sitarama Sastri Aganik
Muganaikanakote - Gubbi Tk

8/9. 6. Mr Sitarama Sastri
Aganik Muganayakanakote
No E64928-12 33
Rejects the petition

General and Revenue Secretariat Mis. File No. 14 of 1933-4

Serial No.

Petition of 11.11.33 from Sivarama Sastri son of Sivarama Sastri Agami Muganayakanakatti Agrahara Taluk praying for restoration to him of Todi Bommanahalli Village - Huliyar Hobli - Chickanayakanahalli Taluk.

(In Mis 385-27-4 refd to in para 4 of the note portion at the P.W.D. is put up. Submd for orders. —

G.V. 25/11

(2) In GO No. 489-92 Rev. 355-27-4 & 27/31 8-28 the inam lands (Todi Bommanahalli village) originally granted for Agama service in the Sri Prasanna Rameswara devara temple in Chickanayakanahalli were ordered to be treated as Devadaya for the benefit of the temple & it was also ordered as follows:

'The lands cannot however be treated as Devadaya even for the family which has now preferred a claim to them. Whatever was the position in the past, the lands have not been in the enjoyment of the family for about 50 years & there is no need now to admit its claim to them."

In the face of the above order whether the question can be reopened at this distance of time, as prayed for in the appeal petn for re- the petn may perhaps be rejected in the above terms.

Recd 7/11/33

25/11/33

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Office of the Secretary to Government, Mysore. 3.3.34

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Secy)
2nd Asst)

24/11/33

File No. 14 95 1933

General and Rev. Secretariat

TYPING SECTI
19. DEC. 1933
GENL. & REV.

No 864 dt 21.12-33 to Mr. Silcock 2

Sastri Agamick Muganagasabandaka
Syeds Fauds in transfer that his
pension is stopped.

No 865 dt 21.12-33 to the
Malayan Commissioner forwarding
Copy of the Enclosure.

D.No. 561



To

Sir Mirza Mahomed Ismail. Kt.C.I.E. B.A. O.B.E.,

Dewan of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Petitioner. Sitarama Sastry,
Son of Sivarama Sastry,
Aganmik, Muganaikankote,
Gubbi Taluk.

PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

Subject. - In the matter of the restroration to the petitioner of Jodi Bommanahalli Village, Huliyar Hobli, Chicknaikanhalli Taluk.

Petitioner above-named begs to state as follows:-

FACTS OF THE CASE:-

Bommenahalli is an Inam Village, which after detailed survey was attached as a hamlet to the Chickbidare Village, Chicknaiakanhalli Taluk, even before 1874. The entire village which measured in all 108.60 acres, was endowed as an inam for the support of Agama service of the Temple of Sree Prasanna Rameswaraswami of Kasaba Chicknaikanhalli; and was being enjoyed by the petitioner's ancestors from time immemorial for service rendered by them as Agamiks of the temple, paying a jodi of Rs.34-14-7 as fixed and finally recognised by the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, in January 1873 (Vide copy of Inam Register filed herewith).

2. The Agamik service is regularly being done even now, though for the time being the village endowed to the temple as inam is sequestered from it, which happened under the following circumstances about the year 1878 of thereabouts:--

3. The ancestors of the petitioner were paying the jodi on the land and enjoying the same though the lands were not yielding anything substantial. Even the small yield -- reduced itself to nothing gradually owing not merely to the poor nature of the soil but to paucity of ryots, and the petitioner's ancestors continued to pay the jodi for some years till the great famine of the years Dhatu and Eswara when owing to extreme scarcity to which the petitioner's ancestors were particularly subject owing not merely to their poverty but to their having had to pay the jodi, resigned the lands to Government.

4. But in spite of this resignation the Agama service was continued, and even till to-day the petitioner has been rendering it.

5. Subsequent to this resignation, and during the Survey and settlement, this inam village was attached as a majara village to Chickbidare village, Chicknaikanhalli Taluk, and some of the lands have been given away on darkhasts to the neighbouring villagers. But as the Agmik service was being rendered, the petitioner's father began to petition the authorities to restore the Inam

village to the Temple Agamik. The several petitions presented both by the petitioner's father, and by the petitioner, to the Amildar, Deputy Commissioner and the Muzrai Commissioner have been of no avail.

6. The petitioner has been even to-day performing the Agama service to the temple, and has not been getting anything for his maintenance either from Government or from the people.

7. It is stated that about 200 acres of land which remained after disposing of the other lands of the village on darkhasts, have been treated as Inam and ordered that the proceeds should go to the temple. If this is a fact, the petitioner (and his ancestors before him) who has been rendering the service to the temple will have no means of livelihood. The petitioner and his family have been undergoing untold hardship owing to such an alleged transfer.

8. The petitioner further begs to state that the resignation of the lands by his ancestors which was brought about by force of circumstances as explained above is opposed to the policy and orders of Government as enumciated in Article 276 of the Revenue Manual - Part I, Page 242, which specially lays down that the Archak has no right to resign lands endowed to a temple for the maintenance of its Archak.

9. The petitioner therefore humbly prays that a thorough enquiry may be kindly ordered to be made, and after giving him an opportunity to be heard, restore

at least the undisposed of and available lands of the village to him, so that he may have his livelihood restored and continue to perform the services as heretofore.

Petitioner.

Bangalore,

Dated, 11th November 1933.

* * * *

Please quote this number in your future communications.
No. 864

Office of the G. R. S. Mag: Br.

Dated 18/11/33

Mag 149-33-2 Inam Register Copy.

ENDORSEMENT.

To

Mr Sitharama Sastri Sanga Saraswathi.
Agraharam. Kurupam agraharam via
Gubbi Tk.

Reference:—His/~~Her letter~~/petition dated 11.11.33

Subject:—

Re: restoration to Inam of Tadi Bammanahalli Village Hobli Gubbi Tk.

Reference is invited to item No 1 below.

Signature. R-O
19/11/33

Designation. for Dy Sce.

C.S

The petn is rejected. The Inam Register copy filed herein is returned herewith.

*1. ~~The request cannot be granted~~

2. The letter/petition has been referred to ..... for .....

3. Attention is drawn to this office No. ..... dated .....

4. No. ..... dated ..... cannot be traced; a copy may be sent.

5. The enclosures are not received.

6. The petition is returned herewith as
   (i) it is not in the prescribed form
   or (ii) it is not duly stamped
   or (iii) it is not presented within the prescribed time
   or (iv) it is not accompanied by a copy of the order appealed from or complained of.

7. The petition is returned for presentation to .....

8. The petition is returned
   (*a* as it is written illegibly
   (*b*) as its subject matter is not clear
   (*c*) as it is couched in improper language.

A6
AE

*Reasons if any to be mentioned in brief.

865 of 24-12-3

Copy kept in C file for information

19/11/33